

DEC 1 0 2008

CERTIFIED MAIL RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Bradley T. Raymond, General Counsel International Brotherhood of Teamsters 25 Louisiana Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

RE: MUR 5932

Dear Mr. Raymond:

This is in reference to the complaint you filed with the Federal Election Commission on August 10, 2007, concerning possible violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"). On December 1, 2008, the Commission reviewed the allegations in your complaint and found that on the basis of information provided in your complaint, publicly available information, and information provided by respondents, there is no reason to believe that Chrysler LLC, f/k/a DaimlerChrysler Corporation, violated the Act. The Factual and Legal Analysis, which more fully explains the Commission's finding is enclosed.

In addition, after considering the circumstances of this matter, the Commission, on the same date, determined to dismiss the allegation that Freightliner LLC violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(b)(6) and 11 C.F.R. § 114.5(k) and closed the file in this matter. Nevertheless, because an affiliate of Freightliner LLC allowed payroll deductions to support a separate segregated fund at the time of the Teamsters' request, the Commission admonished Freightliner LLC for an apparent violation of 2 U.S.C. § 441b(b)(6) and 11 C.F.R. § 114.5(k) in connection with its denial of the Teamsters' request to solicit voluntary contributions to the Teamsters' Democratic-Republican Independent Voter Education Political Fund from employees of Freightliner LLC through a payroll deduction program. The Factual and Legal Analysis explaining the Commission's decision is enclosed.

Documents related to the case will be placed on the public record within 30 days. See Statement of Policy Regarding Disclosure of Closed Enforcement and Related Files, 68 Fed. Reg. 70,426 (Dec. 18, 2003).

The Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended, allows a complainant to seek judicial review of the Commission's dismissal of this action. See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(8).

MUR 5932 Bradley T. Raymond, Esq. Page 2

If you have any questions, please contact Dominique Dillenseger, the attorney assigned to this matter, at (202) 694-1650.

Sincerely,

Thomasenia P. Duncan General Counsel

BY: Audra L. Wasson

Acting Assistant General Counsel

Enclosures

Factual and Legal Analysis – Freightliner LLC
Factual and Legal Analysis – Chrysler LLC
f/k/a DaimlerChrysler Corporation

cc: Thomas W. Lasorda, President 1000 Chrysler Drive, CIM: 485-12-30 Aubum Hills, MI 48326

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

3

2

1

4 RESPONDENT: Freightliner LLC MUR: 5932

5 6 7

15

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

8 This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission by

- 9 the International Brotherhood of Teamsters' Democratic-Republican Independent Voter
- 10 Education Political Fund ("DRIVE PAC") and Teamsters Local Union No. 305 ("Teamsters").
- 11 See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1). The complaint alleges that Freightliner LLC ("Freightliner") violated
- the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act") and the Commission's
- implementing regulations when it denied the Teamsters' request to solicit voluntary contributions
- 14 to DRIVE PAC from employees of Freightliner through a payroll deduction program.

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

In June 2007, the International Brotherhood of Teamsters and Teamsters Local No. 305, 16 which counts among its membership some employees of Freightliner LLC, contacted Freightliner 17 and asked the company to permit the Teamsters to administer a contribution check-off program 18 in conjunction with Freightliner's payroll operations that would allow its members to elect to 19 have voluntary contributions to the Teamsters' separate segregated fund, DRIVE PAC, 20 automatically deducted from the employees' pay. Freightliner denied the Teamsters' request on 21 22 the grounds that Freightliner itself did not utilize any method for soliciting voluntary contributions or facilitate the making of voluntary contributions from stockholders or executive 23 24 or administrative personnel to a separate segregated fund, and therefore the company was not required make any methods available to a labor organization. 25

20

Factual and Logal Analysis MUR 5932 (Freightliner)

1 At the time the events at issue in the complaint took place, Freightliner, which is based 2 in Portland, Oregon, was a wholly-owned subsidiary of DaimlerChrysler AG, a German automobile manufacturer. Freightliner does not have its own PAC, and does not appear to 3 otherwise solicit, or facilitate the solicitation of, contributions to a separate segregated fund. 4 DaimlerChrysler AG had another wholly-owned subsidiary, the DaimlerChrysler Corporation, 5 which had a separate segregated fund registered with the Federal Election Commission under the 6 name "DaimlerChrysler Corporation Political Support Committee" ("DaimlerChrysler PAC"). 7 8 The DaimlerChrysler Corporation apparently used a payroll deduction "check-off" plan to facilitate voluntary political contributions to the DaimlerChrysler PAC from its executive and 9 10 administrative employees. On August 3, 2007, two months after Freightliner denied the Teamsters' request. 11 DaimlerChrysler AG sold off an 80.1% interest in the DaimlerChrysler Corporation to the private 12 equity firm Cerberus. The DaimlerChrysler Corporation was renamed Chrysler LLC and its 13 former parent was renamed Daimler AG. After the sale, Daimler AG retained a 100% interest in 14 Freightliner but only a 19.9% interest in Chrysler LLC. See Dec Ann Durbin, "Cerberus Takes 15 Over Chrysler," THE AUGUSTA CHRONICLE, August 4, 2007, available at 2007 WLNR 16 17581881. On August 13, 2007, the DaimlerChrysler Corporation PAC filed an amended 17 statement of organization with the Federal Election Commission changing the name of the 18 19 separate segregated fund to the Chrysler International Corporation PAC, and on December 14,

2007, the name was changed to the Chrysler Service Contracts Inc. Political Support Committee.

A publicly available news source indicates that one Daimler AG executive sits on the eleven-member board of the newly-formed Chrysler LLC. See Laurence Frost & Jeff Bennett, "Daimler puts stamp on Chrysler Board" INTERNATIONAL HERALD TRIBUNE, August 21, 2007, available at 2007 WLNR 16237310.

- (hereinafter, "Chrysler PAC"). See Statements of Organization (amended), August 13, 2007;
- 2 December 14, 2007.
- 3 Several days after the DaimlerChrysler sale was completed, the Teamsters filed this
- 4 complaint alleging that Freightliner violated the Act when it denied the Teamsters' request to
- solicit voluntary contributions to DRIVE PAC from employees of Freightliner through a payroll
- 6 deduction program. The complaint contends that although Freightliner LLC does not have a
- 7 PAC, its affiliate, the DaimlerChrysler Corporation, has a separate segregated fund that uses a
- 8 check-off plan to solicit contributions from its executive and administrative personnel, and
- 9 therefore Freightliner must afford the union the opportunity to use a similar check-off plan to
- solicit contributions from union members. The complaint does not discuss the August 3, 2007
- transaction, and all facts contained in the complaint refer to the respective companies' pre-sale
- 12 status.
- Freightliner's response to the complaint refers solely to the status of the companies as
- they existed after the August 3, 2007 sale. In its response, Freightliner contends that because it is
- a subsidiary of Daimler AG, and because at the time the complaint was filed neither Freightliner
- nor Daimler AG or its affiliates or subsidiaries had a separate segregated fund, Freightliner does
- 17 not have a legal requirement to create or make available a method for voluntary contributions to
- 18 DRIVE PAC.

19 III. LEGAL ANALYSIS

- The Act and the Commission's implementing regulations provide that "any corporation,
- 2) including its subsidiaries, branches, divisions, and affiliates" that uses a lawful method of
- 22 soliciting voluntary contributions from stockholders, executive or administrative personnel, and
- 23 their families, must make that method available to a labor organization representing the

- company's employees. See 2 U.S.C. § 441b(b)(6); see also 11 C.F.R. § 114.5(k). Although the
- term "affiliates" is not specifically defined in the Act with respect to corporations, the
- 3 Commission clarified in its 1989 revision of 11 C.F.R. part 114 that it would apply the definition
- of "affiliated committee" and the affiliation factors found at 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(5), and 11 C.F.R.
- 5 §§ 100.5(g) and 110.3(a)(2)-(3) to determine whether corporate entities are "affiliates" for
- 6 solicitation purposes. See Affiliated Committees, Transfers, Prohibited Contributions, Annual
- 7 Contribution Limitations and Earmarked Contributions; Final Rule; Explanation and
- 8 Justification, 54 Fed. Reg. 34,098 (August 17, 1989).
- 9 Affiliation may either be per se or based upon an examination of affiliation factors. See
- 10 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.5(g)(2)-(4) and 110.3(a)(2)-(3). Entities are per se affiliates when they are
- established, financed, maintained, or controlled by the same corporation and/or all of its
- subsidiaries. 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.5(g)(2) and 110.3(a)(2). The affiliation factors at 11 C.F.R.
- 13 §§ 100.5(g)(4) and 110.3(a)(3) which pertain to the relationships between organizations,
- including whether one organization owns a controlling interest in the other, has authority with
- respect to hiring/firing and managerial decision-making, provides significant funds or arranges
- 16 for funding, and whether there are common/overlapping members, officers or employees are
- 17 examined in the context of the overall relationship between the entities.
- 18 The Commission has established that if two corporations are deemed to be affiliated
- 19 under 2 U.S.C. § 441a(a)(5) and 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(a)(2)-(3), then any political committee or
- separate segregated fund that is established, financed, maintained or controlled by one of the
- corporations or its subsidiaries is affiliated with the other corporation and its subsidiaries, and the
- obligations arising under 2 U.S.C. § 441b(b)(6) are applicable to all entities within that group of
- affiliated corporations. See Affiliated Committees, 54 Fed. Reg. at 34,099 34,101; see also AO

- 1 1990-25 (Community Psychiatric) (finding that if a parent corporation or any of its subsidiaries
- 2 intend to make a twice yearly solicitation for contributions to the corporation's political
- 3 committee, then the corporation is obligated to make the solicitation method available to a labor
- 4 organization that represents members who are employees of any entity within that group of
- affiliated corporations); AO 1982-45 (Salt River District & Association), citing MUR 994
- 6 (Sandia Laboratories)(finding that when a corporation's affiliate or subsidiary utilizes a payroll
- 7 deduction plan for its executive and administrative personnel to facilitate voluntary contributions,
- that plan must be made available to the union members employed by any of the corporation's
- 9 affiliates and subsidiaries).²
- In the present matter, the threshold question is whether Freightliner is affiliated with the
- 11 former DaimlerChrysler Corporation and/or Chrysler LLC, and its political committee, the
- 12 DaimlerChrysler PAC/Chrysler PAC. If the two companies are affiliated, then Freightliner has a
- 13 legal obligation under 2 U.S.C. § 441b(b)(6) to make the solicitation methods used by the
- 14 DaimlerChrysler PAC/Chrysler PAC available to the Teamsters for their solicitation of
- 15 Freightliner employee contributions to DRIVE PAC.
- Prior to the August 2007 sale of DaimlerChrysler AG's controlling interest in the
- 17 DaimlerChrysler Corporation, it appears that Freightliner and the DaimlerChrysler Corporation

The facts in MUR 994 are almost identical to those in the present matter prior to the sale. In MUR 994, the international Association of Machinists and Aerospace Workers complained that Sandia Laboratories, a wholly-owned subsidiary of AT&T, did not make a check-off system available to the union for soliciting contributions to the union's PAC, even though other subsidiaries of AT&T, including the Mountain State Telephone and Telegraph Company, utilized check-off systems for their employees. The Commission found reason to believe that Sandia Laboratories violated 2 U.S.C. § 441b(b)(6), and stated that since some subsidiaries of AT&T utilized a payroll deduction method for facilitating the making of voluntary contributions, then Sandia Laboratories, which was also a subsidiary of AT&T, must make that same method available, at cost, to the union representing members working for Sandia. Although MUR 994 is almost three decades old, the section of the Act and the regulation upon which the Commission decided that MUR have not been revised since originally promulgated, and the Commission has not subsequently addressed any matters in which it interpreted these provisions to the contrary.

- were per se affiliated because they were each wholly owned subsidiaries of DaimlerChrysler AG.
- 2 See 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(a)(2)(i). Thus, when the Teamsters asked Freightliner to permit DRIVE
- 3 PAC to administer a contribution check-off program in conjunction with Freightliner's payroll
- operations, the company had a legal obligation under 2 U.S.C. § 441b(b)(6) to make the
- 5 solicitation methods used by the DaimlerChrysler Corporation available to the union for their
- 6 solicitation of Freightliner employee contributions to DRIVE PAC. See MUR 994 (Sandia
- 7 Laboratories), supra at note 2.
- 8 However, as of the August 3, 2007 sale of DaimlerChrysler AG's controlling interest in
- 9 the DaimlerChrysler Corporation (and its reorganization as Chrysler LLC), Freightliner and the
- DaimlerChrysler Corporation were not per se affiliated because they were no longer subsidiaries
- of the same entity. In the absence of per se affiliation, the affiliation factors found at 11 C.F.R.
- 12 §§ 100.5(g)(4) and 110.3(a)(3) are applicable. In applying these factors, Freightliner LLC and
- 13 Chrysler LLC may be directly affiliated through their relationship with one another, or indirectly
- 14 affiliated via their respective relationships with Daimler AG.
- Based upon the available information, it appears that there is no direct affiliation
- between Freightliner LLC and Chrysler LLC. Applying the affiliation factors found at 11 C.F.R.
- 17 §§ 100.5(g)(4) and 110.3(a)(3), the publicly available information indicates that neither company
- 18 appears to own stock in other company; neither has the authority or ability to direct the
- 19 governance of the other company; neither has the authority or ability to hire, appoint, demote or
- 20 otherwise control the officers or executives of the other company; neither provides funds or
- 21 goods to the other in a significant or ongoing manner; neither arranges for funds for the other;
- 22 neither had a significant or active role in the formation or sponsoring of the other; and there do
- 23 not appear to be common or overlapping employees which indicate a formal or ongoing

- relationship or the creation of a successor entity. See 11 C.F.R. §§ 100.5(g)(4)(ii)(A-J) and
- 2 110.3(a)(3)(ii)(A)-(J).
- Furthermore, publicly available information suggests that following the sale, Daimler AG
- 4 and Chrysler LLC are not affiliated as their respective predecessors were before the sale and
- 5 therefore Freightliner does not appear to be indirectly affiliated with Chrysler LLC via
- 6 Freightliner's continuing status as a wholly-owned subsidiary of Daimler AG. As noted on page
- 7 3, supra, Daimler AG only retained a 19.9% interest in Chrysler and has one representative on
- Chrysler LLC's eleven-member board of directors. The remaining 80.1% majority interest is
- 9 held by Cerberus, a private equity firm. Following the sale, most of the leadership of the former
- 10 DaimlerChrysler Corporation either resigned or remained with Daimler AG, and Chrysler LLC
- hired a new Chairman and CEO, as well as a new Vice Chairman and President. Based upon this
- limited, publicly available information, and consistent with the Commission's determinations in
- 13 previous Advisory Opinions, it appears that Daimler AG and Chrysler LLC are disaffiliated for
- purposes of the Act. See, e.g., Advisory Opinions 2003-21 (Lehman Brothers), 2002-12
- 15 (American Medical Security) (finding disaffiliation even though one connected organization still
- owned some shares of another), and 1996-23 (ITT Corporation) (finding disaffiliation of the
- 17 parent and two spun-off companies even though there were greater than minimal overlaps in the
- 18 boards of directors).
- 19 Thus, because Freightliner and Chrysler were no longer affiliated as of August 3, 2007, it
- does not appear that Freightliner has a continuing obligation under 2 U.S.C. § 441b(b)(6) to
- 21 make the solicitation methods used by the DaimlerChrysler Corporation and/or Chrysler LLC
- 22 available to the union for their solicitation of Freightliner employee contributions to DRIVE
- 23 PAC.

- 1 Although it appears that Freightliner violated the Act when it denied the Teamsters' June
- 2 2007 request, it also appears that Freightliner's obligation to grant the Teamsters' request and
- 3 the violation itself ceased as of August 3, 2007. Therefore, although we recognize the serious
- 4 nature of the violation, due to the unique circumstances in this matter, the Commission,
- 5 exercising its prosecutorial discretion, dismisses this matter and admonishes Freightliner LLC for
- 6 violating 2 U.S.C. § 441b(b)(6) and 11 C.F.R. § 114.5(k).

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

FACTUAL AND LEGAL ANALYSIS

3

4

5

2

1

RESPONDENT:

Chrysler LLC,

MUR: 5932

f/k/a DaimlerChrysler Corporation

6 7

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

This matter was generated by a complaint filed with the Federal Election Commission by

10 the International Brotherhood of Teamsters' Democratic-Republican Independent Voter

Education Political Fund ("DRIVE PAC") and Teamsters Local Union No. 305 ("Teamsters").

12 See 2 U.S.C. § 437g(a)(1).

II. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

In June 2007, the International Brotherhood of Teamsters and Teamsters Local No. 305, which counts among its membership some employees of Freightliner LLC, contacted Freightliner

and asked the company to permit the Teamsters to administer a contribution check-off program

in conjunction with Freightliner's payroll operations that would allow its members to elect to

have voluntary contributions to the Teamsters' separate segregated fund, DRIVE PAC,

automatically deducted from the employees' pay. Freightliner denied the Teamsters' request on

the grounds that Freightliner itself did not utilize any method for soliciting voluntary

contributions or facilitate the making of voluntary contributions from stockholders or executive

or administrative personnel to a separate segregated fund, and therefore the company was not

required make any methods available to a labor organization.

At the time the events at issue in the complaint took place, Freightliner, which is based

in Portland, Oregon, was a wholly-owned subsidiary of DaimlerChrysler AG, a German

Factual and Legal Analysis MUR 5932 (DaimlerChrysler Corporation)

- automobile manufacturer. Freightliner does not have its own PAC, and does not appear to
- 2 otherwise solicit, or facilitate the solicitation of, contributions to a separate segregated fund.
- 3 DaimlerChrysler AG had another wholly-owned subsidiary, the DaimlerChrysler Corporation.
- 4 which had a separate segregated fund registered with the Federal Election Commission under the
- 5 name "DaimlerChrysler Corporation Political Support Committee" ("DaimlerChrysler PAC").
- 6 The DaimlerChrysler Corporation apparently used a payroll deduction "check-off" plan to
- 7 facilitate voluntary political contributions to the DaimlerChrysler PAC from its executive and
- 8 administrative employees.
- 9 On August 3, 2007, two months after Freightliner denied the Teamsters' request,
- 10 DaimlerChrysler AG sold off an 80.1% interest in the DaimlerChrysler Corporation to the private
- equity firm Cerberus. The DaimlerChrysler Corporation was renamed Chrysler LLC and its
- 12 former parent was renamed Daimler AG. After the sale, Daimler AG retained a 100% interest in
- 13 Freightliner but only a 19.9% interest in Chrysler LLC. See Dee Ann Durbin, "Cerberus Takes
- Over Chrysler," THE AUGUSTA CHRONICLE, August 4, 2007, available at 2007 WLNR
- 15 17581881. On August 13, 2007, the DaimlerChrysler Corporation PAC filed an amended
- statement of organization with the Federal Election Commission changing the name of the
- 17 separate segregated fund to the Chrysler International Corporation PAC, and on December 14,
- 18 2007, the name was changed to the Chrysler Service Contracts Inc. Political Support Committee.
- 19 (hereinaster, "Chrysler PAC"). See Statements of Organization (amended), August 13, 2007;
- 20 December 14, 2007.

A publicly available news source indicates that one Daimler AG executive sits on the eleven-member board of the newly-formed Chrysler LLC. See Laurence Frost & Jeff Bennett, "Daimler puts stamp on Chrysler Board" INTERNATIONAL HERALD TRIBUNE, August 21, 2007, available at 2007 WLNR 16237310.

Factual and Legal Analysis MUR 5932 (DaimlerChrysler Corporation)

Several days after the DaimlerChrysler sale was completed, the Teamsters filed this 1 complaint alleging that Freightliner violated the Act when it denied the Teamsters' request to 2 3 solicit voluntary contributions to DRIVE PAC from employees of Freightliner through a payroll deduction program. The complaint contends that although Freightliner LLC does not have a 4 PAC, its affiliate, the DaimlerChrysler Corporation, has a separate segregated fund that uses a 5 6 check-off plan to solicit contributions from its executive and administrative personnel, and therefore Freightliner must afford the union the opportunity to use a similar check-off plan to 7 8 solicit contributions from union members. The complaint does not discuss the August 3, 2007 transaction, and all facts contained in the complaint refer to the respective companies' pre-sale 9 10 status. 11 IIL LEGAL ANALYSIS 12 The Act and the Commission's implementing regulations provide that "any corporation, including its subsidiaries, branches, divisions, and affiliates" that uses a lawful method of 13 soliciting voluntary contributions from stockholders, executive or administrative personnel, and 14 their families, must make that method available to a labor organization representing the 15 company's employees. See 2 U.S.C. § 441b(b)(6); see also 11 C.F.R. 114.5(k). 16

In the present matter, 2 U.S.C. § 441b(b)(6) does not appear to place any obligation upon

Chrysler LLC, f/k/a DaimlerChrysler Corporation. Therefore, the Commission finds no reason to

believe that Chrysler LLC, f/k/a DaimlerChrysler Corporation violated the Act.